Mimetic Morphs: Court, Cosmos, and Chemistry

Ian Harnett

"The past is not dead. In fact, it's not even past" (William Faulkner 1897–1962).

1 The Target

Institutional methodologies, processes, and offices in governance leftover from the Papal Reforms of 1073 and the 1215 Fourth Lateran Council constitute a bundle of feudal, Medieval, and theological notions constructed on the Aristotelian-Ptolemaic worldview surviving in the core of the Modern Legislative State. 'Without backward compatibility' is the only path forward for breaking the functionality of the tyranny of dead ideas surviving as legacy problems from the wrong side of history.

This paper, fourth in the mimetic morph series, continues the brief hike down mimetic mountain from the perspective of the Aristotelian-Ptolemaic worldview, before, once again examining the biological and chemical underpinnings of legal methodology. As always, rejecting the traditional discourse of Reason, its genre, and its tropes, we proceed by assembling binary pairs within the geometry of the hypercube.

2 Clearing The Decks

In resetting thinking about the character of the Court, we have begun from René Girard and his Violence and the Sacred (1972); Things Hidden Since the Foundation of the World (1987); and Battling to the End (2010); from Harold Berman and his Law and Revolution: The Formation of the Western Legal Tradition (1983); from Roland Barthes and his "The Old Rhetoric: An aide-memoire" (1988) and from Raymond Chandler and his "Pearls are a Nuisance" (1962) and "The Simple Art of Murder" (1950), where he observed that the Court is a place where the streets are darker with something more than night, where justice and truth do not out, the law is manipulated for power and profit, and the less than fragrant world is where gangsters can rule nations. These problems have been bundled up as the "Invisible Gorilla" problem existing in the core of the Modern Legislative State.

This “Invisible Gorilla” problem existing in the core of the Modern Legislative State has been tackled by many writers previously, e.g., Honoré de Balzac; Jeremy Bentham; Hebert Spencer. Much earlier, Marsilius of Padua in Defensor pacis (1324) identified this “Invisible Gorilla” problem as “Sophistical Opinion” whereas Dante in The Divine Comedy (1300), Canto 21, placed the Court Society in a boiling tar lake while simultaneously being harassed by black devils grasping metal grappling hooks.

In stripping the shell from the hard-boiled dark, sacred, and violent Court Society, we start with The Power of Habit (Duhigg 2012); Willful Blindness: The Folly of Fools (Trivers 2011); Why we ignore the Obvious at our Peril (Heffernan 2011); Small Acts of Resistance: How Courage, Tenacity, and Ingenuity Can Change the World (Crawshaw and Jackson 2010); The Invisible Gorilla: How our Intuitions Deceive Us (Chabris & Simons 2009); It’s Our Turn to Eat: The Story of a Kenyan Whistleblower (Wrong 2009); The Tyranny of Dead Ideas (Miller 2009); On Being Certain: Believing You are Right Even When You are Not (Burton 2008); Brain Fiction (Hirstein 2006); Hard Facts, Dangerous Half Truths, and Total Nonsense: Profiting from Evidence-Based Management (Pfeffer and Sutton 2006); Collapse: How Societies Choose to Fail or Succeed (Diamond 2005); The Pebble and the Avalanche: How Taking Things Apart Creates Revolutions (Yudkowsky 2005); and The Tipping Point: How Little Things can Make a Difference (Gladwell 2000, 2002).

To strip the hardboiled white from the Court Society, Faces of State Terrorism (Westra 2012); Regulatory Capture (Russell & Cohn 2012); Regulatory Breakdown The Crisis of Confidence in U.S. Regulation (Coglianese 2012); The Winner Effect: The Neuroscience of Success and Failure (Robertson 2012); Shadow Elites: How the World’s New Power Brokers Undermine Democracy, Government, and the Free Market (Wedel 2009); The Rise of the Conservative Legal Movement: The Battle for Control of the Law (Teles 2008); Appointing Judges in an Age of Judicial Power: Critical Perspectives from around the World (Malleson & Russell 2006); Towards Juristocracy: The Origins and Consequences of the New Constitutionalism (Hirschel 2004); The Cloaking of Power: Montesquieu, Blackstone, and the Rise of Judicial Activism (Caresse, P. 2003); The Psychology of Legitimacy (Jost, J. & B. Major. 2001); Governing with Judges: Constitutional Politics in Europe (Stone-Sweet 2000); and The Global Expansion of Judicial Power (Tate & Vallinder 1995) can take us down to the hardboiled dark, sacred, and violent core yolk.

However, to understand the core of the dark, hardboiled, sacred, and violent Court Society egg requires an even more focused corpus. The USA Department of Defence, Homeland Security, and USA military supply this, because, as part of Operations Afghanistan Enduring Freedom and Iraqi Enduring Freedom, there has been a covert international USA-led initiative to control, integrate, and orchestrate judicature on a global basis. One of the centres for this is the National Judicial College, Reno, USA, and the mechanisms for this include USA embassies, the American Bar Association, and the Inter-Pacific Bar Association. Documents in this overt and strategic capture of the world judiciary are derived from

applications of Network-Centric Warfare. A vast corpora exists in this domain. Specifically collected under the Capstone JIMP JOE umbrella, key documents include The Joint Staff Washington, DC, Memorandum For Logistics Community Of Interest (2011); Capstone Concept for Joint Operations Activity Concepts: Acquisition Community Connection, Version 1.0 (8 November 2010); Joint Logistics White Paper JLWP (Gainey, Lieutenant General, 4 June 2010); Irregular Warfare: Countering Irregular Threats Joint Operating Concept, Version 2.0 (17 May 2010); Capstone Concept for Joint Operations Version 3.0 (15 January 2009); Capstone Concept For Joint Operations Version 2.0 (Richard B. Myers, Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff, August 2005); An Evolving Joint Perspective: US Joint Warfare and Crisis Resolution In the 21st Century (Approved by JROC Memorandum 022-03, 28 January 2003); United States Joint Forces Command, The Joint Warfighting Center Joint Doctrine Series, Pamphlet 2 (27 January 2003); Chairman Of The Joint Chiefs Of Staff Instruction, CJCSI 3180.01, Programmatic Processes For Joint Experimentation (31 October 2002); and Joint Vision Implementation Master Plan (JIMP) CJCSI 3010.02A (15 April 2001).

Thus, in ripping off the shell, the white, and drilling into the core of the Court, we discover the covert militarization of the Court, the legal industry, and the Justice Sector. However, if one has been following Mimetic Morphs, this should really come as no surprise. For, from the forensic anthropological perspective, the modern Judiciary is the direct clone of the priests of Yahweh Saboeth, the Phoenician War God, and European lawyers are the direct descendants of the Carolingian warrior caste. The Court room is a sublimation of the castle court yard; the Court room battle is a subliminated duel and tournament between jousting and lancing knights; and the outcome is decided by God, who delivers his judgment as if tablets on Mount Sinai. And, from the dynamic systems perspective, we are observing a standing wave obscured only by subliminations. This standing wave is maintained by a combination of religion, rhetoric, and royalty.

4 Where Have We Come From?

In straightening up our path of discovery, we begin with the separation of the Canon Court and the secular Court during the twelfth, thirteenth, and fourteenth centuries. This slow separation provides an exciting example of institutional meiosis where the secular Court maintained all the functional structuralism of the Canon Court. See Mimetic Morphs: Court and Church (Harnett 2010). It would not be difficult to construct a 64 binary pair between the Canon Court and the modern Court.

Next, out of sequence, in Mimetic Morphs (Harnett 2012b), we examined medieval castellation, medieval cosmos, medieval tournament, and medieval torture binary pairs in relation to the “ius maletractandi” of Seigneur Judge and Lordship. We established 48 binary pairs between the Aristotelian-Ptolemaic worldview and the current functional structuralism of the Court. This cross pairing is, of course, due to the Cosmogony of the Summa Theolgiae of Thomas Aquinas and is recoverable from

the tyranny of Saussurean synchronic linguistic systems thanks to the pioneering work of Pierre Duhem on medieval cosmology. Freedom as Motion (Feldman 2001); The Age of the Two-Faced Janus: The Comets of 1577 and 1618 and the Decline of the Aristotelian World View in the Netherlands (Van Nouhuys 1998); The Foundations of Modern Science in the Middle Ages (Grant 1996); Planets, Stars, and Orbs: The Medieval Cosmos 1200 – 1687 (Grant 1996); Astrologi hallucinati: Stars and the End of the World in Luther's time (Zambelli 1986); and Medieval Cosmology (Duhem 1985) provide the background for this decloaking of the Aristotelian-Ptolemaic functional structuralist framework embedded within the Court society, its methods, its mindset, and its practices.

Having established the Court, Judges, and Lawyers as the last of the Aristotelian-Ptolemaic professions, we left the domed world of the geocentric and descended down the time tabulation ladder to the development of agriculture. At the agricultural level we developed sixteen binary pairs between farming practices and Court practices. In other words, the Court is a farm for harvesting the crop. On this Court farm, we found Seigneur Judge subliminated by Farmer Judge, his shepherd lawyers, bindings, cages and pens, chains and collars, gatekeepers, and the harvest, which is the sub-humans. In a true Aristotelian worldview, the resources of the sub-human are travelling up the food chain to be distributed by Farmer Judge. Then, descending deeper into the Neolithic, we found the sublimination of Farmer Judge by Shaman Judge, the high priest officiating over the sacrifice table, with the future shepherd lawyers being represented by hunters driving in the harvest for culling and cropping. The Judge's hammer is, of course, the sublimination of the Shaman's sacrificial knife.

Next, out of sequence, in Mimetic Morphs (Harnett 2012a), we travelled deeper down the time tabulation ladder to the level of chimpanzee and crow (Harnett 2012a). Thirty-two binary pairs were established between chimpanzees hunting and killing other chimpanzees and current Court practices. It is really remarkable just how close and cohesive Court and Chimpanzee killing practices are especially the four common properties to the 'Party Gang Species': (1) Coalition Bonding; (2) Low Costs; (3) Low Risk; and (4) Power Corrupts (Wrangham and Peterson 1996: 165). This tight interlocking and intersection is interesting because the Court Chimpanzee behavioral connection is not mimetic in origin. In other words, mimesis, persecution, and sacrifice have a biological, chemical, and physiological underpinning residing far deeper than religion.

And then eighty binary pairs were established between crow behavior and current Court practices, which can easily be explained by surficial mimetics and citation from the bible.

5 Standing Wave (Wellentheorie)

Wellentheorie in linguistic theory was developed primarily by Johannes Schmidt (1843 – 1901) at the University of Bonn during the nineteenth century. This Wellentheorie focused on the concentric

linguistics waves created when a stone is thrown into a body of water. We, however, by contrast, are focused on standing wave mechanics, where standing commonalities survive the passage of time. Standing Wave mechanics can bring great simplicity to systems for the focus is on flow and pattern, not particle and recombination.

Twentieth century structuralism has been dominated by the Course in General Linguistics by Ferdinand de Saussure edited and published posthumously by Charles Bally and Albert Sechehaye. This work by Bally and Sechehaye is fortunate as it preserved the work done by Saussure at the University of Geneva in the first decade of the twentieth Century. However, it is also unfortunate as Bally and Sechehaye ignored and neglected the wave aspects of Saussure's work as preserved in the 1907, 1908-1909, and the 1910-1911 notebooks of Emile Constantine edited by Eisuke Komatsu and Roy Harris.

The precursor to our type of time tabulation was originally termed by Adolphe Pictet (1799 –1875), the mentor to Saussure, as linguistic paleontology.

6 The Brownian Storm Hypercube

In addressing the need for new genres, we have followed in the dynamic footsteps of Ferdinand de Saussure as laid out in the winter semester 1910-1911 notebooks of Emile Constantine especially 15, 18, 22, and 29 November 1910 and rebooted Saussurean dynamic concepts into their modern equivalents, e.g., attractor, Cantor dust, hypercube. The Saussurean attractor can be found in the 22 November 1910 lecture, Cantor dust explains Saussurean "Fragmentation of the Spot" phenomena; and the Saussurean hypercube can be found 29 November 1910 in association with linguistic waves and Cantor dust fragmentation. The upgraded Saussurean hypercube we have termed the Brownian Storm Hypercube, as this hypercube in Standing Wellentheorie becomes the frame of the flow and pattern of Cantor Dust dynamics.

The Brownian Storm Hypercube is no chessboard, no Garden of Eden and no static Aristotelian-Ptolemaic worldview but it does provide a framework for standing waves incorporating chaos and conformity.

7 The New Human

Adapting, emerging, and evolving in the tumbling Brownian Storm Hypercube, the New Human is not the natural-born criminal of the Aristotelian-Ptolemaic worldview, echoed by Luther and Calvin, but the natural-born scientist. This conclusion can be demonstrated by the structure of the human brain, which contains boundary cells, direction cells, grid cells, navigating cells, and neighbourhood cells. The New Human of the Brownian Storm Hypercube is also born good. This conclusion can also be demonstrated

by the structure of the human brain, which contains the parietal and occipital lobes, wherein higher order brain activities take place re behavioral inhibition, goodness, justice, numbers, and self-discipline. The evolution of the New Human is being held back and threatened by the recent resurgence of the Old World Order. Whether it is the Buddhist right, or the Christian right, or the Hindu Right, or the Moslem right, the common standing wave here is right-wing religion. The conflict at Jerusalem, the foci of three religions sharing the same God, is also the product of the common standing wave of religion. It is interesting that the Middle East, a nexus of war for more than 5000 years, is the birthplace of the Phoenician War God Yahweh Saboeth, who was co-opted by the early Israelites. The standing wave supporting the continued persistence of Yahweh Saboeth in this area is due to the geographic bottleneck created by three interlocking continents and population pressure.

Ideologies and rhetorics from the wrong side of history seek to frame genders, or humans, or people, or races as sub humans, therefore deserving them to be assassinated, or blown up, or burned alive, or dominated, or eliminated, or shot, or suppressed, or tortured, or violated, or water boarded. Every act of violence against a human being confirms the existence of the Aristotelian-Ptolemaic worldview standing wave, which divides humans into two classes, the superior insider elite destined to dominion, Lordship, and power, and the inferior outsider sub human class destined to be resources.

The earth as a planet, education, and equality are three of the biggest threats to the Old World Order. For the Old World Order and its worn out dogmas are pre- planet, pre-education, and pre-equality. The Old World Order also has a place in the brain, in the lower order brain activities utilizing a completely different brain chemistry to those of the New Human.

To instantiate the New Human on a global basis, Standing Wave Mechanics and mimesis can make the function shift to the New World Order from the Old World Order.

8 What is Mimesis?

Brought back into focus by the pioneering efforts of René Girard, mimesis has been in disfavor since Plato and Aristotle. However, that mimetic perspective was framed by the static world of the Aristotelian-Ptolemaic worldview, which, quite logically, within its context, would have resulted in monotonous herds of sameness. But, in the Brownian Storm Hypercube, mimesis is an intermittent signal rather like that of the firefly in the firefly swarm.

Commencing from thermodynamics, mimesis is the most ergonomic choice. However, continuing from Cantor Dust “Fragmentation on the Spot”, mimetic endeavour has a statistical probability to wander off track. In fact, many scientific discoveries have been made by mimetics gone AWOL. In other words, mimetics is a compass and not an arrival point. Thus, mimetics, which is Saussurean semiotic cohesion,

is equally matched by Saussurean semiotic Cantor Dust “Fragmentation on the Spot”.

But, perhaps, the most significant aspect of mimesis is not the signal of identity. Instead, it is the chemical receptor in the brain coupled with hardwired brain functions and structures.

9 The Aristotelian-Ptolemaic worldview

In returning to the Bar, Bench, Cases, and Court and their domed geocentric world, because of our hypercube methodology, we are able to peer through the transparent dome from the outside or even, if we want to, to lift off the dome. 48 binary pairs were identified (Harnett 2012b) connecting the Aristotelian-Ptolemaic worldview with the functions and structures of the Court. 48 binary pairs are sufficient to identify the judicature and its officials as the last surviving profession of the Aristotelian-Ptolemaic perspective.

One basic division of the Aristotelian-Ptolemaic worldview is that the heavens are full of curves while the sub lunar Earth is rectilinear. Thus, perhaps the ultimate proof of the surviving Aristotelian-Ptolemaic worldview of the Court is that of the Court itself, which is rectilinear. From Aristotelian-Ptolemaic rectilinearity, we can, therefore, derive (49) Bar; (50) Barrister; (51) Bench; (52) Brief; (53) Box; (54) Case; (55) Court; and (56) Higher Court, which returns the Court back to its superior position in the Medieval Cosmos as celestial machinery, the divine plan, and highest ranked in the natural order of things. To be perhaps repetitive, the natural order of things in the Court world is neither modern Darwinian nature nor modern binary order but biblical, hierarchical, medieval, and Scholastic order with everything bound in place by the divine unalterable plan.

We can, therefore, close our 64 binary pair hypercube with the following table.

	Aristotelian Mechanics	Modern Court Structures
49	Rectilinear sub-lunar	Bar
50	Rectilinear sub-lunar	Barrister
51	Rectilinear sub-lunar	Bench
52	Rectilinear sub-lunar	Box (Witness)
53	Rectilinear sub-lunar	Brief
54	Rectilinear sub-lunar	Case
55	Rectilinear sub-lunar	Court
56	Rectilinear sub-lunar	Higher Court
57	Global Theatre	Global Theatre
58	Vast Machinery	Vast Machinery
59	Traditional Cosmology	Traditional Cosmology
60	Natural Order	Natural Order
61	Agents installing Order	Agents installing Order
62	Supernatural Agency	Supernatural Agency
63	Rigid Dichotomy	Rigid Dichotomy
64	Two Law System	Two Law System

Table 1 – Final 16 Binary Pair in 64 Hypercube Medieval Cosmos Court Connectivity

10　The Medieval Methodology

With a 64 Medieval Cosmos Court Connectivity behind us, we can now proceed with confidence with aligning medieval methodology with Modern Court practices. This is not difficult. It is called Scholastic “Quaestio Disputata”. To see Scholastic “Quaestio Disputata” in operation, one only has to enter any Courtroom or simply watch some Court drama such as “Law and Order”. In fact, “Law and Order” provides an excellent black comedy illustration of Aristotelian Mechanics in operation.

Long obsolete in any other profession, “Quaestio Disputata” formed part of Roman law and it became the basis of legal practice in Europe during the Twelfth century. During the scholastic age, a master presided over “Quaestio Disputata” and this medieval practice provided the model and template for the modern judicial method.

What is the Scholastic “Quaestio Disputata”? “Quaestio Disputata” is a form of verbal gymnastics. In brief, it is a complete system of argumentation. This system was highly artificial and it was not static, evolving to become a distinct literary genre. Barristers dueling before a Judge and the Judge delivering his judgment are instantiations of this scholastic methodology. To be exact, the “opponens” furnished arguments against the thesis and respondens answered the objections showing their weakness. The verbal tournament was open to the public and the Scholastic master delivered his verdict, sometimes at a later period. The earliest surviving records of “Quaestio Disputata” date to the Twelfth and Thirteenth centuries. Today it is called “adversarial”.

The Art of Disputata is winning by verbal gymnastics. Inventio, Rhetoric, and Reason (No empirical facts) constitute the core of Quaestio Disputata. The modern notion of content, data, fact, information, measurement, observation, and verification driving outcome are completely missing from Quaestio Disputata. Thus, this polarity between Medieval and Modern genres enables us to speak of polar reversal of law management.

11　Medieval Latin

With the 64 Medieval Cosmos Court Connectivity and Scholastic “Quaestio Disputata” behind us, we can now proceed with confidence to identifying the survival of Medieval Latin in the Modern Court. However, rather than tamely selecting examples from Black’s Law Dictionary, we take a real world empirical approach and turn to the living example of The Supreme Court Of New Zealand, CHAMBERLAINS V LAI SC 19/2005 [11 September 2006], known as NZSC 70.

Ranked high on both the Corruption Perceptions Index (CPI) of Transparency International and the World of Justice Project Rule of Law Index, as far away from the Old World as can be, and with a new

Supreme Court (2004), New Zealand provides a global tabula rasa re our trawling for the survival of Medieval Latin and Medieval Latin practices.

In NZSC 70, passing over “prima faciean” abuse at [30], Estoppel per rem judicatam at [64], res judicata at [80], and the approximately 280 references to “Lord”, we first draw attention to the use of Latin maxims “nemo debet bis vexari pro una et eadem causa” (no one should be troubled twice by one and the same action) and “interest rei publicae ut sit finis litium” (the public interest requires litigation of an issue to end) at [50].

Second, we draw attention to the Tipping J contribution to NZSC 70. Tipping J commences with “In medieval times” [98] and “The importation of this Roman law approach into the English common law resulted in the medieval view being overtaken by the view” [99], and then he picks up the Oxford English Dictionary followed shortly afterwards by Black’s Law Dictionary followed by A Latin Dictionary (Lewis and Short) followed by the use of medieval legal Latin in the discussion of the etymology of the words “immunity” and “privilege” [113, 114]. Not only can we observe here operational medieval Latin use in the “Modern” Court by Tipping J but, rather exciting for the forensic anthropologist, we can also observe here a living surviving example of the linguistic methodology made standard throughout Feudal Latin Carolingian Europe fourteen hundred years ago by the seventh century Isidore of Seville with his Etymologies.

12 Medieval Grammar

With the 64 Medieval Cosmos Court Connectivity, Scholastic “Quaestio Disputata”, Medieval Latin, and Isidore of Seville Etymologies tucked away in our hypercube collection basket, we can now turn to the termini technici” of medieval grammar. For who doesn’t know that the notions of “regimen” (rection); “absoluto”; “composition”; “congruitas”; “constructio”; and “relatio” are all active examples of “modi significandi” (modes of signifying) that were developed by medieval grammarians such as Thomas Aquinas; Roger Bacon; Ralf of Beauvais; Robert Blum; William of Conches; Petrus of Helias; Petrus Hispanus; Robert Kilwardby; Albertus Magnus; Michel de Marbais; Jordan of Saxony; Duns Scotus; and William of Sherwood.

The “termini technici” of medieval grammar provides the framing and grounding for the judicial mindset. Thus, in NZSC 70, the excursion of Tipping J into detailed analysis of medieval legal Latin etymology [113, 114] also provides an exciting forensic anthropological example of the modes of signifying known as “terminism’, a highly quantified formal logic of medieval scholastic philosophy popular in the thirteenth century. This Tipping J excursion into the “id quod est “ and the id quo est” of “immunity” and “privilege” involves the mindset “suppositio” that the “regimen” (rection); “absoluto”; “composition”; “congruitas”; “constructio”; and “relatio” of “immunity” and “privilege” have

significative force.

13　Medieval Genre

With the 64 Medieval Cosmos Court Connectivity, Scholastic “Quaestio Disputata”, Medieval Latin, Isidore of Seville Etymologies, Medieval Grammar, and Scholastic Terminism behind us, we can now turn to Scholastic genre. Scholastic learning is essentially a commentary tradition. Most Scholastic writings either are explicit commentaries or involve conceptual analysis of the basic terms and notions.

In both cases the outcome was a large number of detailed explorations of the nature and divisions of “signum” or “repraesentatio’ driven by a combination of the Trivium, i.e., grammar, rhetoric and logic. See NZSC 70 for a living example of Scholastic genre and see Medieval Semiotics in the Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy for further “expositio”.

In brief, judicial judgments are an associative form of writing formatted by the medieval trivium. But, as we learned from The Invisible Gorilla (Chabris & Simons 2009), association, belief, correlation, chronology, intuition, pattern, and sequence are not causal and are not proof of causation (Harnett 2012b). In other words, judicial judgment is a medieval fiction, a medieval genre, and medieval literature.

14　Scholastic Seigneurs

With the 64 Medieval Cosmos Court Connectivity, Scholastic “Quaestio Disputata”, Medieval Latin, Isidore of Seville Etymologies, Medieval Grammar, Scholastic Terminism, Scholastic genre, and the Scholastic Triviumbehind us, we can now turn to Scholastic Seigneurs.

The ~280 references to Lords in NZSC 70, e.g., “Lord Bingham of Cornhill; Lord Hoffmann; House of Lords; Lord Diplock; Lord Steyn; Lord Browne-Wilkinson; Lord Hoffmann; Lord Millett; Lord Hope; Lord Hutton; Lord Hobhouse; Lord Reid; Lord Wilberforce; Lord Russell; Lord Salmon; seven Law Lords; None of the Law Lords; All Law Lords; the Law Lords; The other Law Lords; The Law Lords in the minority; the House of Lords; the House of Lords; the House of Lords; the House of Lords; All Law Lords; per Lord Guest; Lord Hailsham; the Law Lords; the House of Lords; Baroness Hale; per Lord Carswell; Lord Nicholls; Lord Brown; the reasons of the other Law Lords; the House of Lords; The other Law Lords; the House of Lords; the House of Lords; the House of Lords; their Lordships; the House of Lords; Lord Chelmsford; his Lordship; the House of Lords; Lord Goff; the House of Lords; Lord Scott; Their Lordships; the House of Lords; the House of Lords; the House of Lords; the House of Lords; the House of Lords; Lord Denning; Sir George Baker; his Lordship; Lord Halsbury; My Lords; His Lordship’s observation; Lord Keith; Lord Roskill; Lord Brandon; His Lordship’s words;

Their Lordships; Lord Morris; Sir Thomas Bingham; their Lordships; a statement by his Lordship; their Lordships; their Lordships; His Lordship; His Lordship; the distinguished Law Lord said; the Law Lord; The learned Law Lord; the Law Lord was speaking; and Sir Anthony Mason", establishes the practical survival of Scholastic Seigneurs in NZSC 70.

Lording is the major referencing system in NZSC 70. One observes no charts, no data, no index, no statistics, and no tables in NZSC 70.

The practical survival of Scholastic Seigneurs in NZSC 70 can also be demonstrated by "ius maletractandi" (the right to abuse). See NZSC 70 [22, 33, 36, 37, 74] for the adverse consequences, disadvantages, economic losses, trauma, no compensation, suspension of human rights, and trauma caused by judicial "ius maletractandi" (the right to abuse).

15 New Zealand Law Commission

NZSC 70 is not an anomaly in the New Zealand Justice system. The 2012 "Review of the Judicature Act 1908: towards a Consolidated Courts Act" by the New Zealand Law Commission, 2.21 and 2.22 take us into the core of the judicial theological problem.

15.1 Disposal Unit

In the 2012 Review at 2.11; 2.15; 2.24; 2.31; and 2.35, we observe the New Zealand Courts disposing of quarter million citizens per year, one million citizens every four years, 2.5 million citizens every decade, and the equivalent to the entire population of the nation every sixteen years. Selection and use of the process verb "Disposing" provides insight into the medieval social psychology of the New Zealand Law Commissioners.

15.2 Orality

In Harnett (2012b), 7.1, we referred to the almost full reliance of the Court upon orality as if the Court has not yet comprehended the implications of writing rather like the Anglo Saxons never grasped the significance of the stirrup (White 1962: 28). In the 2012 Review at 3.31 we are informed us of "numerous "corridor" contributions to the work of each other and how things are presented". The expression "numerous corridor contributions" teaches us a lot about Judicial methodology, i.e., chat, gossip, social networking. In other words, judicial methodology remains arrested at medieval orality (Ong 1982).

15.3 Ultra Vires

In the 2012 Review at 2.21 we observe that “the court has virtually unlimited jurisdiction in civil cases” and at 2.22 we observe that ‘The court also has “inherent” jurisdiction. This has been described as a reserve or fund of powers or a residual source of powers which the court may draw upon as necessary whenever it is just or equitable to do so’. The virtually unlimited jurisdiction in civil cases and the “inherent” jurisdiction, i.e., a residual source of powers, means “ultra vires” (outside the Law). This “ultra vires” is verified in 2.22 by “The inherent jurisdiction allows the court to deal with questions that cannot be dealt with in a satisfactory manner using only the powers conferred by statute or the rules of court, and ensures that parties are able to find a resolution to their disputes according to law”. One can note the “noir” contradiction, equivocation, and verbal gymnastics of the last citation, i.e., where laws, rules of court, and statute don’t exist, inherent jurisdiction (“ultra vires”) enables solutions according to law (“ultra vires”).

16 Galapagos Syndrome

The survival of the last profession based on the principles of the Aristotelian-Ptolemaic world system is an example of Galapagos Syndrome. A sacred cow, the legal profession is like the endemic species that Darwin encountered on the Galápagos Islands. The Latinate world of the Judicature is too fantastically ancient, divergent, evolved, isolated, and separated from their mainland Modern professions to survive blood transfusion, heart transplant, rebooting, and upgrading. Regime change based on measurement, science, and technology is long overdue.

17 Moving On

Essentially a person de-educated back to the extremism, ideology, and modes of signification of the Twelfth century, the Judge is protected by the Aristotelian onion ringshells of the Ministry of Justice, Law Faculties, Law Firms, Police, Registry, and Regulators. As the centre of the onion, this Empyrean Prime Mover operates with false positives inside the false, fake, and fictional world of medieval cosmology. But no alien voice guides judgment; no hotline exists to supernatural forces; and no licence has been handed down from on high. In addition, the Earth is not a static chessboard loaded with criminal sub humans branded with original sin.

A legacy problem of the Latinate world, the judicial mindset is a delusional, empty, pathetic, and sad sham. However, this does not explain the linkage between the Court society, chimpanzees, and crows. For this we have to return to NZSC 70.

(1) “Litigation is not susceptible to scientific laws and measurements”, NZSC 70, 11 September

2006, ELIAS CJ, GAULT and KEITH JJ [51].

(2) The adverse consequences, disadvantages, economic losses, trauma, no compensation, suspension of human rights, and trauma caused by judicial processes [22, 33, 36, 37, 74] in NZSC 70 (11 September 2006, ELIAS CJ, GAULT AND KEITH JJ).

Concepts of behavioral inhibition, goodness, justice, measurements, numbers, ordering, scientific laws, and self-discipline are higher order brain functions located in the parietal and occipital lobes. Thus, we are plainly informed by the Chief Justice of New Zealand and two other judges of the New Zealand Supreme Court that litigation is not a higher brain function.

Seigneurial Lordship associated with the adverse consequences, disadvantages, economic losses, trauma, no compensation, suspension of human rights, and trauma caused by judicial processes is also located in the brain. Doing harm to the economy, humans, property, possessions, safety, and society is an example of domination (MBA Thesis, Thomas Noll & Pascal Scherrer 2011). "Higher Social Class Predicts Increased Unethical Behavior" (Paul Piff 2012); and The Winner Effect (Robertson 2012) show that the dominant are less ethical, more selfish, more insular, less compassionate than other people, prioritize their own self-interests above the interests of others, and are more likely to harm and injure other people.

Dominant people not only think differently from non-dominant people, i.e., (1) abstraction and aloofness; (2) believe they are invincible; (3) conform to received wisdom; (4) filter out detail, information, and messy realities; (5) make snap judgments; (6) perchance for destruction (7) prefer stereotypes and templates; and (8) reiterate reckless behavior (in total, anti-social psychopathic behavior) but they actually also utilize a different brain chemistry, a brain chemistry associated with psychopaths and sociopaths.

Domination and doing harm are physically addictive based on a basic, primitive reward system in the brain. In The Winner Effect, Robertson states that "Holding power changes brains by boosting testosterone, which, in turn increases the chemical messenger dopamine in the brain reward systems. Extraordinary power causes extraordinary brain changes, which in their extreme form manifest themselves in personality orders, such as those seen in dictators", psychopaths, and sociopaths. "Winning, particularly on home territory, re-engineers critical parts of the brain so you become hypersensitive to testosterone," says Robertson. He points out that this can happen in humans but the evidence he cites is from lab experiments. "Mice that fight at home against an artificially weakened opponent are more likely to win against a stronger opponent. That's because the receptors in their brain for testosterone grow, so when they get testosterone the next time there is wider activation."

What is occurring in the Old World Order of the Court Society is not collective beneficial wisdom but an

interwoven network of bonding, hierarchy identification, membership, and greater risk taking (“group derangement”, Irving Janis, 1972, Victims of Groupthink) and this mutually reinforcing conformity feeds back in loops to lower brain activities.

Thus, in climbing down our binary ladder from the Court Society to the Chimpanzee society, we observe the “Party Gang Species” conformity in behavior between Pan Troglodytes, judges, and lawyers has four common properties, i.e., (1) Coalition Bonding; (2) Low Costs; (3) Low Risks, and (4) Power Corrupts (Harnett 2012a). But this conformity in behavior between Pan Troglodytes, judges, and lawyers, is not mimetic. Instead, the commonality of chimps killing chimps and judges, and lawyers preying on humans is triggered by addiction to chemicals such as adrenalin, dopamine, and testosterone and lower brain activities.

We are now able, thanks to the behavioral commonality between raiding Pan Troglodytes, judges, and lawyers to separate mimetics from violence and religion from violence. It is a noteworthy historical correlation that the Western Church became less violent after judges and lawyers meioticly separated into the secular Court.

18 Where to from Here?

While we have not made any headway into the biochemical and neurological networks behind mimesis itself, we have made progress into the anti-social, dominating, harming, and violent behavior of judges and lawyers, i.e., ius maletractandi This negative behavior stems not from legislation, because judges and lawyers do not follow legislation, but from lower brain activities, chemical addiction to adrenalin, dopamine, and testosterone, and because they can get away with it due to coalition politics, low costs, and low risks. This negative behavior has been elsewhere classified as psychopathic and sociopathic.

First, the management of national behavioral inhibition, goodness, justice, measurements, numbers, ordering, scientific laws, and self-discipline is obviously in the wrong domain, in the wrong hands, and in the wrong profession. The dismantling of the last of the Aristotelian-Ptolemaic professions is long overdue. Function shift to computation, communities, and policies of cooperation is urgent in the Justice Sector.

Second, numerous contemporary critics of the introduction of the Western Legal System by the papal reforms of Gregory VII and Pope Innocent III objected harshly to the new regime of judges and lawyers. See The Carmina Burana (1230 AD), Adam of Perseigne, the Apocalypse of Golias, the Goliard genre, Arnold of Brescia, Bernard of Clairvaux, John Bromyard; John of Salisbury, Nigel Wireker, Odo of Cluny, Peter of Blois, Peter of Damiani, Peter the Venerable, Stephen Langston, Tanchelm of Antwerp, Walter of Chatillon, Walter Mapp, the unknown Archpoet, and The Lineage of Lady Meed (Yunck

1963), all of whom considered the introduction of a professional class of adjudicators dislocated nature, introduced chaos, and put time out of joint. This "Unholy band" of Judges and their lawyer colleagues "raking in finances" and profit after profit, as they reported it, constituted substantive evidence of diabolical triumph. Eight hundred years later, their conclusions would seem to be partially confirmed by the brain chemistry behind judicial and legal psychopathic and sociopathic behavior.

The Discovery of the Individual (Morris 1972); The Formation of the Persecuting Society (Moore 1987); The First European Revolution (Moore 2000); The Psychology of Legitimacy (Jost & Major 2001); Blackwell Handbook Of Social Psychology: Intergroup Processes, (R. Brown & S. Gaertner 2003); Blackwell Handbook Of Social Psychology: Group Processes, (M. Hogg & S. Tindale 2003); The Psychology Of Tyranny, (A. Haslam & S. Reicher, 2005, Scientific American MIND, Volume 16, Number 3, ISSN 1555-2284); Multidisciplinary Handbook Of Social Exclusion Research, (D. Abrams, J, Christian, and D. Gordon 2007); The Medieval Origins of the Legal Profession (Brundage 2008); The Social Psychology of Power (Guinote & Vescio (2010); and Faces of State Terrorism (Westra 2012) provide a more modern context to the abusive linguistic practices of the Court Society. See Harnett (2006; 2012b).

Third, the dismantling of the last of the Aristotelian-Ptolemaic professions has become complicated by the fact that the USA Department of Defence and Homeland Security have made the current judicial and legal system a cornerstone of their global strategy. Neoliberal policies activated by Reagan and Thatcher and Neoconservative policies activated by Bush II, Cheney, Rumsfeld, and Wolfowitz after the 11 September 2001 attacks on the USA have seen a radical deregulation of the judicial and legal system coupled with its realignment along Capstone JIMP JOE ideology. This covert absorption and usurpation of national judicial and legal systems by the USA Department of Defence and Homeland Security has undermined 5000 years of attempts to control the judicial and legal system.

19 A Case Study in Capstone JIMP JOE experimentation

Experimentation on the civilian population is a large component of Capstone JIMP JOE policies and advanced, black-op, covert, military tactics originally developed in Bosnia, Afghanistan, & Iraq, i.e., Network-Centric Warfare, have become standard practise in governance influenced by USA Neoconservative Neoliberal policies. The judicial and legal system is a cornerstone target of Capstone JIMP JOE policies. See CCJO v3.o, 15 January 2009, Foreword M. G. Mullen, Admiral, U.S. Navy. Also see The Mesh and the Net (Libicki 1994); What is Information Warfare? (Libicki 1995); Operations Other Than War (Albert & Hayes 1995); Joint Training for Information Managers (Maxwell 1996); Complexity, Global Politics, and National Security (Alberts & Czerwinski 1997); Behind the Wizard's Curtain (Krygiel 1999); Confrontation Analysis: How to Win Operations Other Than War (Howard 1999); Information Campaigns for Peace Operations (Avruch, Narel, & Siegel 2000); Understanding Information

Age Warfare (Alberts, Garska, Hayes, & Signori 2001); Code of Best Practice for Experimentation (2002); Effects-Based Operations (Smith 2003); Power to the Edge: Command...Control in the Information Age (Alberts & Hayes 2003); and Campaigns of Experimentation: Pathways to Innovation and Transformation (Alberts & Hayes 2005).

New Zealand, a country favorably ranked by the Corruption Perceptions Index (CPI) of Transparency International and the World of Justice Project Rule of Law Index, a client state of the USA far from the rest of the world, and with a relatively small population has provided an ideal test bed for covert experimentation with Capstone JIMP JOE policies in the safe haven provided by the Courts.

This introduction of Capstone JIMP JOE policies and practices took place during the first term of the Fifth Labour Government of Helen Clark and was managed by the Minister for Foreign Affairs and Justice Phil Goff; the Chief Justice Sian ELIAS; the Director of the Institute of Judicial Studies Richard Moss; and an inner core of the Ministry of Justice.

The Chief Justice Sian ELIAS "is a judicial activist, not averse to challenging conventional norms about parliamentary supremacy; has been instrumental in leading the shift away from New Zealand statutory governance of court proceedings and outcomes to the more arbitrary implementation of insular rules and relegating trial by jury to a legal footnote; has a personal tendency to look the other way when it comes to misconduct by her fellow judges; and is a very astute political operator. A graduate of Stanford University, USA, and married to one of New Zealand's richest men, Sian ELIAS asserts a strong sense of personal entitlement (www.kiwisfirst.co.nz).

It is, thus, not surprising New Zealanders have been "Just Dealt To" by the judicial and legal system from the year 2000 and left asking, "What the Heck Just happened?" (Chester Borrows, Minister of Courts, Website, Biography, 2012, BUILDING A BRIGHTER FUTURE).

It has proved difficult to obtain public documents on the introduction of Capstone JIMP JOE policies into the judicial and legal system during the two terms of the Fifth Labour Government of Helen Clark. However, a synchronic grab in real-time 2010-2012 can be obtained from the NZ Ministry of Foreign Affairs and Trade website, Bilateral Relationship, 2012, United States.

"Since the post 9/11 era, there has been greater interaction between New Zealand and the United States with cooperation in 'Homeland Security' deepening. For instance, there is the 2010 "Agreement on Science and Technology Cooperation Contributing to Domestic and External Capabilities" and the "Wellington Declaration on a New Strategic Partnership between New Zealand and the United States of America". In May 2012 US Secretary of Homeland Security Janet Napolitano visited New Zealand to sign "Joint Statement on Combating Trafficking in Persons in the Pacific Islands Region"

and “Joint Statement to Strengthen Border Security, Combat Transnational Organized Crime, and Facilitate Legitimate Trade and Travel” and had meetings with Minister of Justice Judith Collins, and Attorney-General Chris Finlayson. New Zealand welcomes the opportunities the agreement presents for New Zealand researchers’ and officials’ engagement in the work of the United States Department of Homeland Security. Minister of Foreign Affairs Murray McCully and Minister of Trade Tim Groser visited the USA in May 2012, Attorney-General Chris Finlayson attended the Fourth meeting of Quintet of Attorneys-General, 15 June 2012, in Ottawa, Canada; and on 14 October 2012 Justice Minister Judith Collins flew to the USA for a week-long stay to discuss “a range of justice policy issues” with top echelon legal figures in the United States including the US Attorney General Eric Holder, Jr, who heads the Department of Justice, Janet Napolitano, Secretary of the Department of Homeland Security, who visited New Zealand earlier this year, the FBI, and Commissioner Raymond Kelly of the New York Police Department.”

In other words, we are observing the Aristotelian-Ptolemaic world system being integrated by power brokers into a network centric warfare world system. As Daphne Eviatar (live-tweeting) reported from the 3 December 2011 American Bar Association National Security Panel, Jeh Johnson, USA Department of Defence General Counsel, proclaimed he found that the distinction between battlefield and non-battlefield was “growing stale”.

20 Back in Time to Ground Zero

The promotion of Neocon and Neoliberal policies and practices have taken the Western legal system back 2,500 years to the level of the archaic Greek Courts predating the time of Solon, the Athenian lawgiver.

Regulatory breakdown and crisis of confidence in legislation are easily observable from the test case situation in New Zealand. There breakdown of the Rule of Law through judicial activism, judicial extremism, and judicial power [Is this a U.S. DoD JOpsC (Joint Operations Concepts) Capstone JIMP JOE sanctioned experiment on a client state civilian population with the permission of the domestic government?] can be impartially measured against the articles of the 1215 Magna Carta. For instance, first, Article 20 instantiates both the notions of gravity (proportion) and the credible witness. However, proportion and the credible witnesses are completely irrelevant to the juristocracy of the Modern Court. Second, we can see from Articles 23 and 45 that “Judge-made Law” is forbidden. Yet in NZSC 70, we can see TIPPING J boldly and brutally advancing seigneurial right to “Judge-made Law”. Third, Article 35 mandates: “Let there be one measure of wine throughout our whole realm; and one measure of ale; and one measure of corn, to wit, “the London quarter”; and one width of cloth (whether dyed, or russet, or “halberget”), to wit, two ells within the selvedges; of weights also let it be as of measures”. But from NZSC 70, we can see plainly see the New Zealand Chief Justice and two Supreme Court Judges

reject completely and totally the principle of Law based on measurement. Fourth, Articles 30 and 31 dictate that freehold property cannot be interfered with or seized but judicial assertion of "Eminent Domain" obliterates that [Read Little Pink House (Benedict 2009) and its account 1997-2005 of the brave and courageous Suzette Kelo, New London, Connecticut, USA, to protect her community, home, land, life, and property.]. By the way, 1997-2005, the author of Mimetic Morphs was also engaged in a simultaneous equivalent struggle at Auckland, New Zealand. Fifth, Article 45 instantiates the principles of obeying the Rule of Law (Asserted by the Democracy) and observation. But the Rule of Law and observation do not exist in the Court. See points above, or, if you want it expressed in Latin, "ultra vires" (beyond the Rule of Law, unlimited jurisdiction).

The great disconnect between the Rule of Law promulgated by the legislature and the text of the Law Commissioners and the Supreme Court in New Zealand displays all the parameters of a covert experimental psych-op being undertaken against the civilian population by the Judiciary of a nation state. Is this great disconnect a UN crime scene?

The effects of being exposed to the abusive operations of Judicial activism and power are to experience tactics destabilizing levels of dopamine, cortisol, epinephrine, and serotonin and the over-stimulating of the amygdalae. See Harnett (2012b), Table 3, "Abusive Linguistic Tactics in Legal Methodology", and Table 4, "Legal Talk Surviving from the Mechanicalization of 'Persuasive Advocacy'". Also see Lost Illusions (Balzac 2001: 674), i.e., "The Cointets had got what they wanted. They had tormented the inventor and his family, until they could seize the moment when, worn out by prolonged torture, the victims longed only for respite". This production of toxic chemicals by abusive linguistic tactics is why prolonged interrogations lead to false confessions. Plato, in The Republic, called the abused "victims of magic" (iii, 413 b); the abusers "the herd of guardians" which "remains as free from dissension as possible" (V, 459 e); and the abusive linguistic tactics process" magic spells" (III, 413 b, d) and "useful as a form of drug" (V, 459 d).

Neocon and Neoliberal principles have taken law management to ground zero. It is time to rebuild law management excluding the invisible gorilla in the Modern Legislative State, i.e., the Scholastic Corporation of the legal profession and its 6000-year onion ring system.

21 Review

In taking up the hardboiled approach to the persistent problems of the Justice sector raised, for instance, by Balzac, Bentham, Barthes, Berman, Chandler, and Girard, we have focused in Part Four of Mimetic Morphs on completing identification of the survival of the Aristotelian-Ptolemaic world system within the core of the Modern Legislative State. This dangerous deadly legacy of Pope Gelasius, Pope Gregory VII, and Pope Innocent, a pantheon of divine control and punishment, surviving as the active, dominant,

and powerful partner in governance reminds us just how much the theological past remains actively embedded in the technological present.

Considered to have imploded during the final decades of the seventeenth century when the appearance of Newton's Mathematica led to a great public surge in the popularity of computation, experimentation, measurement, observation, proof, and verification, the fortress of Aristotelianism was maintained by the judicial and legal system. Thus, while in all other professions pre-Galileo and pre-Newtonian text became obsolete, consigned to the trashcan of history, in the one profession that clung to the Old World Order, the judicial and legal system remained a living instantiation of Medieval cosmology embedded within the core of the Modern Legislative State. Millennia-old Court beliefs, customs, habits, mindsets, and practices have not altered during the past four centuries. Instead, they became cloaked over with rhetoric; dropped below the radar; and subliminated.

The modes of signification in judicial and legal practice are a combination of the Aristotelian-Ptolemaic world system coupled with medieval practices of Isidore of Seville Etymologies, Orality, Medieval Latin, Medieval Grammar, "Quaestio Disputata", Scholastic genre, Scholastic Seigneurs, Scholastic Terminism, and the Scholastic Trivium. The rectilinearity of Court nomenclature provides substantive evidence for its Aristotelian-Ptolemaic origins. Taken from modern times, practical working examples of Latinism, medievalism, ius maletractandi, privilegium, and ultra vires have been provided by the New Zealand Supreme Court (2006) and the New Zealand Law Commission (2012). Disposing of people as sub human waste was provided by the New Zealand Law Commission (2012).

These modes of signification, rhetorical, scholastic, and theological, rest upon a chemical substrate. Located in lower brain activities triggered by addiction to adrenalin, dopamine, and testosterone, Court practices also fall within the parameters of chimpanzee and crow behavior. Rhetorical cloaking, however does not conceal the anthropological forensic fact that the Court is a subliminated abattoir, the Judge a subliminated butcher, the lawyer a subliminated cannibal, legal process is a subliminated rip, strip, and tear chain, and behind this absolutism, extremism, and totalitarianism still stride the God Kings.

Prolonged exposure to the abusive linguistic tactics of the judicial and legal profession is likely to result in cardiovascular disease, cancer, depression, immune suppression metabolism problems, PTSD, and suicide. Economic and social damage is a given.

22 Summary

From Mimetic Mountain, a ten thousand year block of time, we can now carve out our standing wave dynamic. Starting from the Saussurean synchronic present, we can travel backwards in time entangling

the psychopath Judge first as the global policeman, then as Seigneur Lord, then as high priest then as God King then as farmer then as hunter then as shaman holding the knife of sacrifice. Alternatively, we could replay the meme projection in reverse. Then, restarting from the Saussurean synchronic present again, we next entangle the lawyer as the warfighter then as the dueling, raiding tournament knight then as the priest then as the temple servant than as the farm worker then as the hunter gatherer and then as sacrificing singers surrounding the sociopathic shaman.

From our time tabulated, entangled vision in our standing wave dynamic, what we first observe is that the Western legal system is operating as a Lacedaemonian constitution, with the superior King being the Court and the inferior king being the Parliament and its people. We can discern, as if switching to MRI from X-ray, that this constitutes is a deeper version of the Two Sword argumentation of Pope Gelasius. Second, what we observe from this time tabulated braid is that the Western legal system is operating as a triumvirate composed of an aristocracy, an autarky, and a democracy, with the aristocracy being made up of lawyers, the autarky being made up of Judges, and the aristocracy of lawyers and oligarchy of Judges coupled together as a dulocracy to defeat the democracy. Third, the time tabulated braid shows us that democracy has been completely hollowed out, marginalized, and relegated to irrelevance by the dulocrats, who have left behind only a husk held together by flaking paint to deceive the people re their role in governance.

With the 800th anniversary of the Magna Carta only two years away, it seems a worthwhile global goal to aspire to reach the legal level of the 1215 Magna Carta.

23 Conclusion

In taking a hard-boiled forensic anthropological look at the phenomena Balzac described in Lost Illusions as “being eaten out of house and home”; “Judicial conflagration”; “hunting in couples”; “setting fire to a man’s business”; “shameful chronicle”; a “situation in which the remedy is worse than the cure”; and “The spoils of an innocent man, hunted down, brought to bay, and ruined by the law, went on to enrich five noble families...” (2001: 560; 562; 568; 586, 658), i.e., artificially weakened opponents (Robertson 2012), and what this writer considers to be a purgatorial warehouse of stalled lives being disposed of by Seigneur Lords, our non-local entanglement in Mimetic Morphs demonstrates that the Court Society, a Scholastic Corporation structured on imaginary immutable celestial nestled spheres, is not, and has never been, a fit and proper institution to manage public law. Built upon a domed, geocentric, Latinate fiction, a garden of Eden having neither cosmic connection, nor divine insight, nor divine licence, the practices of the Court Society are simply hocus pocus, magical realism, orchestration (singing from the same page), sorcery, and the supernatural backed by shells of coercion, force, intimidation, persuasion, and worn-out dogma from the wrong side of history. In other words, judicial and legal methodology is neither based on the ratiocinative nor the Rule of Law but on “Eminent Domain”, “ius maletractandi”,

“Privilegium”, unlimited jurisdiction, and “ultra vires”.

This can of feudal, medieval, and theological worms can no longer be kicked down the street by legislators because the judicial and legal profession is not only dangerous for public health but it is also a time bomb threatening the safety, security, serenity, and stability of the Modern Legislative State.

The move to the New World Order from the Old World Order is the move to the parietal and occipital lobes - wherein higher order brain activities take place re behavioral inhibition, goodness, justice, numbers, and self-discipline – from the lower brain, which is the natural home of the Court Society. Thus, it is not surprising that, from the five thousand years since the citizens of Uruk, citizens have been complaining that judges and the legal profession have no concept of behavioral inhibition, goodness, justice, numbers, and self-discipline because these characteristics are higher brain activities and, ipso facto, far beyond the intellectually-challenged reach of judges and the legal profession. And, in actual fact, modern brain structure teaches us that it is judges and their legal profession who, in practical reality, constitute the sub humans.

The survival of Aristotelian-Ptolemaic global theatre, a Scholastic Corporation, Seigneur Lords, and their keep, their “ius maletractandi” and “ultra vires”, their knights, their tournament, and their witch-hunt does not belong to any empirical natural order of cause and effect but, instead, constitutes a Galápagos genre, a memory of times past.

This Galapagos-ization, a comfort basin of deeply entrenched interests, can be eliminated by a brain shift, a function shift, a legislative shift, a metanarrative shift, and a technology shift towards new genres of governance without backwards compatibility. A political construction, the massive extinction of the dominant but senile species of Judge and lawyer can be effected through democratic, peaceful process, a “No Backwards Compatibility ”blast wave creating a kill zone that takes out the omnipotent Prime Mover Judge located inside his supralunar onion castle of Empyrean heaven: in this resetting of the cosmological clock, a standing wave of innovation for the New Human of the parietal and occipital lobes is enabled by the extinction of the feudal medieval food chain.

24 Short List Of Sources

Albert, D. 2002. Information Age Transformation. Washington: CCRP Publications.

Albert, D. 1996. Defensive Information Warfare. Washington: CCRP Publications.

Albert, D., & A. Czerwinski 1997. Complexity, Global Politics, and National Security. Washington: CCRP Publications.

Alberts, D., Garska, J., Hayes, R.,&D. Signori. 2001. Understanding Information Age Warfare. Washington: CCRP Publications.

Albert, D., J. Garstka, &F. Stein. 1999. Network Centric Warfare: Developing and Leveraging Information

Superiority. Washington: CCRP Publications.
Albert, D., & R. Hayes. 1995. Operations Other Than War. Washington: CCRP Publications.
Albert, D., & R. Hayes. 2002. Code of Best Practice for Experimentation.Washington: CCRP Publications.
Albert, D., & R. Hayes. 2003. Power to the Edge: Command...Control in the Information Age. Washington: CCRP Publications.
Albert, D., & R. Hayes. 2005. Campaigns of Experimentation: Pathways to Innovation and Transformation. Washington: CCRP Publications.
Avruch, K., Narel, J.,& P. Siegel. 2000. Information Campaigns for Peace Operations. Washington: CCRP Publications.
Balzac, H. 2001. Lost Illusions. New York: Random.
Barthes, R. 1988. The Semiotic Challenge. Oxford: Blackwell.
Benedict, J. 2009. Little Pink House: A True Story of Defiance and Courage. New York: Grand Central Publishing.
Berman, H. 1983. Law and Revolution: The Formation of the Western Legal Tradition. Cambridge (Ma): Harvard University Press.
Berman, H. 2003. Law and Revolution II: The Impact of the Protestant Reformations on the Western Legal Tradition. Cambridge (Ma): Harvard University Press.
Brundage, J. 2008. The Medieval Origins of the Legal Profession. Chicago: Chicago University Press.
Carrese, P. 2003. The Cloaking of Power: Montesquieu, Blackstone, and the Rise of Judicial Activism. Chicago: Chicago University Press.
Chabris and Simons. 2009. The Invisible Gorilla. New York: Random House.
Chandler, R. 1953. Pearls are a Nuisance. London: Hamish Hamilton.
Chandler, R. 1950. The Simple Art of Murder. Boston: Houghton Mifflin.
Coglianese, C. 2012. Regulatory Breakdown: The Crisis of Confidence in U.S. Regulation. Philadelphia: University of Pennsylvania Press.
Czerwinski, A. 1999. Coping with the Bounds.Washington: CCRP Publications.
Duhem, P. 1985. Medieval Cosmology. Chicago: Chicago University Press.
Duhigg, C. 2012. The Power of Habit. New York: Random.
Girard, R. 1972. Violence and the Sacred. Baltimore: John Hopkins University Press.
Girard, R. 1986. The Scapegoat. Baltimore: John Hopkins University Press.
Girard, R. 1987. Things Hidden Since the Foundation of the World. Stanford: SUP.
Girard, R. 2010. Battling to the End. East Lansing: Michigan State University Press.
Grant, E. 1996. Planets, Stars, & Orbs: The Medieval Cosmos, 1200-1687. Cambridge: CUP.
Grant, E.1996. The Foundations of Modern Science in the Middle Ages: Their Religious, Institutional, and Intellectual Contexts. Cambridge: CUP.
Harnett, I. 1995. ‘Lost Worlds: Saussure, Wittgenstein, Chomsky.’ Journal of Nagoya Seirei Tandai University. No. 15, 1995: 105-136.
Harnett, I. 1997. ‘A Topological Twist in Linguistic Representation.’ Journal of Nagoya Seirei Tandai University. No. 17, 1997: 115-155.
Harnett, I. 1998. A Tale of Two Genres’ Journal of Kinjo University, No. 39, 1998: 295-370.
Harnett, I. 1999. ‘A Tale of Two Genres.’ Part 2. Journal of Kinjo University, No. 40, 1999: 315-380.
Harnett, I. 2001. ‘A Tale of Two Genres.’ Part 3. Journal of Kinjo University, No. 42, 2001: 237-298.
Harnett, I. 2002. ‘A Tale of Two Genres.’ Part 4. Journal of Kinjo University, No. 43, 2002: 247-277.
Harnett, I. 2003. ‘A Tale of Two Genres.’ Part 5. Journal of Kinjo University, No. 44, 2003: 295-370.
Harnett, I. 2004. Nonlinear Linguistics: the Dimensions of Emergence. Ph.D (100% Rejection). Birmingham: Aston University.

Harnett, I. 2005. Stationary Systems (Einstein and Saussure). Kinjo Gakuin Daigaku Ronshu. Studies in Humanities. Vol. 1, No. 1.2, March 2005.

Harnett, I. 2006. Hertz, Saussure, and Stationary Systems. Journal of Kinjo University, Studies in Humanities.

Harnett, I. 2006. 'Dedekind, Saussure, And Stationary Systems.' Kinjo Gakuin Daigaku Ronshu. Vol. 3, No. 2, March 2007: 102-111.

Harnett, I. 2006. 'Grassmann, Saussure, And Stationary Systems.' Kinjo Gakuin Daigaku Ronshu. Studies in Humanities, Vol.6, No.2, March 2010.

Harnett, I. 2010. Mimetic Morphs: Court and Church (2010). Kinjo Gakuin Daigaku Ronshu. Studies in Humanities, Vol. 7, No. 1, September 2010.

Harnett, I. 2011a. Who is Julia Sets? The Journal Chubu Gakuin University No.12:158-162 (March 2011).

Harnett, I. 2011b. Poincaré, Saussure, And Stationary Systems. Kinjo Gakuin Daigaku Ronshu. Studies in Humanities, Vol.8, No.1, September 2011.

Harnett, I. 2012a. Mimetic Morphs: Court, Chimpanzee, and Crow. Kinjo Gakuin Daigaku Ronshu. Studies in Humanities, Vol.8, No.2, March 2012.

Harnett, I. 2012b. Mimetic Morphs: Court, Castellation, and Cosmos. Kinjo Gakuin Daigaku Ronshu. Studies in Humanities, Vol.9, No.1, September 2012.

Harnett, I. 2013a. Mimetic Morphs: Court, Cosmos, and Chemistry. Kinjo Gakuin Daigaku Ronshu. Studies in Humanities, Vol.10, No.1, March 2013.

Harnett, I. 2013b. Mimetic Morphs: Court, Negative Energy, and the Citizens of Uruk. Kinjo Gakuin Daigaku Ronshu. Studies in Humanities, Vol.10, No.2, September 2013.

Heffernan, M. 2011. Willful Blindness: Why We Ignore the Obvious at our Peril. New York: Walker Publishing.

Hirschel, R. 2004. Towards Juristocracy. Harvard: Harvard University Press.

Hirstein, W. 2006. Brain Fiction. Cambridge: MIT Press.

Howard, N. 1999. Confrontation Analysis: How to Win Operations Other Than War Washington: CCRP Publications.

Iogna-Pratt, D. 2002. Order and Exclusion. Cornell: Cornell University Press.

JIMP. 15 April 2001. Joint Vision Implementation Master Plan CJCSI 3010.02A.

JIMP. 31 October 2002. Chairman Of The Joint Chiefs Of Staff Instruction, CJCSI 3180.01, Programmatic Processes For Joint Experimentation.

JIMP. 27 January 2003. United States Joint Forces Command, The Joint Warfighting Center Joint Doctrine Series, Pamphlet 2.

JIMP. 28 January 2003. An Evolving Joint Perspective: US Joint Warfare and Crisis Resolution In the 21st Century, Approved by JROC Memorandum 022-03.

Johnson, S. & M. Libicki. 1996. Dominant Battlespace Knowledge. Washington: CCRP Publications.

JOE. August 2005. Capstone Concept For Joint Operations Version 2.0, Richard B. Myers, Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff.

JOE. 15 January 2009. Capstone Concept for Joint Operations Version 3.0, Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.

JOE. 17 May 2010. Irregular Warfare: Countering Irregular Threats Joint Operating Concept, Version 2.0, Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.

JOE. 4 June 2010. Joint Logistics White Paper (JLWP), Gainey, Lieutenant General.

JOE. 8 November 2010. Capstone Concept for Joint Operations Activity Concepts, Version 1.0, Acquisition Community Connection.

JOE. 1 March 2011.The Joint Staff Washington, DC, Memorandum For Logistics Community Of Interest, Subject: Joint Logistics Lexicon.

Jost, J. & B. Major. 2001. The Psychology of Legitimacy. Cambridge: CUP.

Keltner, D. 2009. Born to be Good. New York: Norton Press.

Krygiel, A. 1999. Behind the Wizard's Curtain. Washington: CCRP Publications.

Komatsu E. & R. Harris. 1993. Saussure's Third Course of Lectures on General Linguistics (1910-1911). Oxford: Pergamon Press.

Komatsu E. & G. Wolf. 1997. Saussure's Second Course of Lectures on General Linguistics (1908-1919). Oxford: Pergamon Press.

Lawn, B. 1993. The Rise and Decline of the Scholastic 'Quaestio Disputata' with Special emphasis on its use in the Teaching of Medicine & Science. Leiden: Brill.

Leff, G. 1970. Medieval Thought. Harmondsworth: Penguin.

Libicki, M. 1994. The Mesh and the Net. Washington: CCRP Publications.

Libicki, M.. 1995. What is Information Warfare? Washington: CCRP Publications.

Malleson, K., & P. Russell (Eds.). 2007. Appointing Judges In an Age of Judicial Power. Critical Perspectives From Around the World. Toronto: Toronto University Press.

Meier-Oeser, S. 2011. "Medieval Semiotics", The Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy (Summer 2011 Edition), Edward N. Zalta (ed.), URL = <http://plato.stanford.edu/archives/sum2011/entries/semiotics-medieval/>.

Moore. R. 1987. The Formation of a Persecuting Society. Oxford: Blackwell.

Moore, R. The First European Revolution (c. 970-1215). Oxford: Blackwell.

Morall, J. 1970. The Medieval Footprint. Harmondsworth: Penguin.

Morris, C. 1972. The Discovery of the Individual 1050-1200. Toronto: Toronto University Press.

New Zealand Law Commission. 2012. Review of the Judicature Act: Towards a Consolidated Courts Act. http://ip29.publications.lawcom.govt.nz

New Zealand Minister of Courts. 2012. Chester Borrows, Website, Biography.

New Zealand Ministry of Foreign Affairs and Trade website. 2012. Bilateral Relationship, United States.

New Zealand Supreme Court. 2006.CHAMBERLAINS V LAI SC 19/2005 [11 September 2006] SC 19/2005 [2006] NZSC 70 BETWEEN CHAMBERLAINS Appellants AND SUN POI LAI Respondent BETWEEN CHAMBERLAINS Appellants AND HILDA LORRAINE LAI Respondent Hearing: 18-20 October 2005 Court: Elias CJ, Gault, Keith, Tipping and Thomas JJ

Nirenberg, D. 1996. Communities of Violence. Princeton: Princeton University Press.

Noll, T., & P. Scherrer. 2011. Psychology of Share Traders. St. Gallen: MBA Thesis.

Ong, W. 1988. Orality and Literacy. London: Routledge.

Piff, P. 2012. "Higher Social Class Predicts Increased Unethical Behavior". PNAS: February 27, 2012, doi: 10.1073/pnas.1118373109.

Russell, J., & R. Cohn. 2012. Regulatory Capture. Edinburgh: Lennex Corp.

Robertson, I. 2012. The Winner Effect: The Neuroscience of Success and Failure. New York: St Martin's Press.

Smith, E. 2003. Effects-Based Operations. Washington: CCRP Publications.

Stern, C. 1998. "The Deconstruction of Value Chains." Perspectives. Boston: The Boston Consulting Group.

Stone Sweet, A. 2000. Governing with Judges: Constitutional Politics In Europe. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Tate, N., & T. Vallinder. 1995. The Global Expansion of Judicial Power. New York: New York University Press.

Teles, S.2008. The Rise of the Conservative Legal Movement. Princeton: Princeton University Press.

Trivers, R. 2011. The Folly of Fools. New York: Basic Books.

Ullman, H., Wade, J., et al. 1996. Shock and Awe: Achieving Rapid Dominance. Washington: CCRP Publications.

Van Nouhuys, T. 1998. The Age of Two-Faced Janus. Leiden: Brill.

Wallace, W. 2006. St Thomas Aquinas Summa Theologiae. Volume 10 Cosmology. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Wedel, J. 2009. Shadow Elite: How the World's New Power Brokers Undermine Democracy, Government, and the Free Market. New York: Basic Books.

Westra, L. 2012. Faces of State Terrorism. Leiden: Brill.

White, L. 1962. Medieval Technology and Social Change. Oxford: OUP.

Wrangham R. & D. Peterson. 1996. Demonic Males: Apes and the Origins of Human Violence. New York: Houghton Mifflin.

Yunck, J. 1963. The Lineage of Lady Mead. Notre Dame: Notre Dame University Press.

Zambelli, P. 1986. Astrologi hallucinati: Stars and the End of the World in Luther's time. Berlin: Walter de Gruyter.